

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,375	07/01/2003	Tommy E. White	GP-302713	4945
7:	590 07/19/2004		EXAM	INER
KATHRYN A. MARRA			ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT PAPER NUI	
P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 4			3612	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,375	WHITE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L Engle	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35.U.S.C. & 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-30 is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the one Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exp	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 19-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on May 25, 2004.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore et al. (US Patent 5,934,745).

Regarding claim 1, Moore et al. disclose a rear and side panel assembly for a vehicle comprising a one-piece inner body panel member (14) mated with a one-piece outer body panel member (12), said body panel members cooperating to at least partially define wheel well openings (52,40) for opposing sides (front and back) of the vehicle.

Regarding claim 2, Moore et al. disclose the rear and side panel assembly of claim 1, wherein the inner body panel member (14) is formed from a first rigid sheet (14) and the outer body panel member (12) is formed from a second rigid sheet (14).

Regarding claim 5, Moore et al. disclose the rear and side panel assembly of claim 1, wherein the inner body panel member and the outer body panel member define holes for at least

Art Unit: 3612

one of trunk latches, wiring (inherent to a vehicle with lighting components, such as taillights) and trim components.

Regarding claim 6, Moore et al. disclose the rear and side panel assembly of claim 1, wherein the inner body panel member (12) is characterized by formations (81) configured to provide structural rigidity.

Regarding claim 14, Moore et al. disclose the rear and side panel assembly of claim 1, wherein the inner body panel member (14) has an outer face (Fig. 2) and the inner body panel member (14) and the outer body panel member (12) are sufficiently contiguous and coextensive with each other such that the outer body panel member (12) substantially covers the outer face of the inner body panel member (Fig. 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 3612

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 3 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Moore et al. disclose the rear and side panel assembly of claim 2. Moore et al. do not disclose that the inner and outer panels are aluminum. However, Moore et al. does disclose that the object is to have a lightweight vehicle with a low number of parts (column 1, lines 25-29). Aluminum has a low weight and aluminum can be formed to create the same inner and outer panels to have a vehicle with a low number of parts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the inner and outer panel from aluminum, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. The motivation to use aluminum would have been to make the panels with a high strength and low cost.

Regarding claims 7-12, MPEP 2113 Product-by-Process Claims states that "If the product in the product-by-process claim is that same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process." The rear and side panel assembly is anticipated by Moore et al. as modified. The process by which the rear and side panel assembly is made is not a patentable distinction.

7. Claims 1, 4, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heim et al. (US Patent 6,102,470) in view of Moore et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,375

Art Unit: 3612

Heim et al. disclose a rear and side panel assembly for a vehicle wherein the body panel members cooperating to at least partially define wheel well openings (Fig. 1) for opposing sides (left and right) of the vehicle which cooperates with a trunk lid (3) and in which the modular portion includes a rear panel portion and side panel portions extending from the rear panel portions, and wherein each of the side panel portions define an edge that is configured to complement and further define a wheel well opening (Fig. 1) on a vehicle, and wherein each of the side panel portions is configured to extend frontward such that it is mountable to a body lock pillar (Fig. 4) on the vehicle.

Heim et al. do not disclose that the rear and side panel assembly is made from an inner panel and an outer panel.

Moore et al. disclose a rear and side panel assembly which is made of an inner panel and an outer panel.

Moore et al. and Heim et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., vehicles made with modular components.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the rear and side body panel from an inner body panel and an outer body panel which are joined together.

The motivation would have been to make the rear and side body assembly with a low number of parts.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moore et al. with Heim et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 4 and 15-18.

Art Unit: 3612

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses other modular vehicles.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 8:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle

Examiner

Art Unit 3612

ple July 7, 2004